

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/029,249	12/28/2001	Arjen Brandsma	PB0024/US	4985	
466	7590 11/17/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
YOUNG & THOMPSON			CHARLES, MARCUS		
	i 23RD STREET 2ND F N, VA 22202	LOOR	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	,		3682	,	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/200	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			SW			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/029,249	BRANDSMA ET A	L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
., .	Marcus Charles	3682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	<u>lugust 2003</u> .		•			
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under Insposition of Claims			e merits is			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-14</u> is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Application	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 10/029,249

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

This action is the second action relating to serial application 10/029,249 and is responsive to the amendment filed 08-19-2003, which has been entered. Claims 1-8 and 10-14 are currently pending.

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 1-10 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Hendriks (4,332,575). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear which radius is the claim referring too. The drawing clearly shows a flat surface and to round corners. Therefore, it is unclear if the largest running radius of the belt is the straight surface of the belt.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3-5, 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hendriks. Hendriks discloses a composite driving belt (fig. 1), the carrier comprising a plurality of endless bands (14), and each element (12) is

Application/Control Number: 10/029,249

Art Unit: 3682

provided with a radially carrier contacting plane, such that the carrier contacting plane and the contacting face of the carrier have a combine roughness of more than 0.6 μ m. It is apparent that if the roughness of the carrier alone is greater than 0.6 μ m Ra then the combine roughness and the contacting surface will be greater than 0.6 μ m. Hendriks clearly stated that the carrier surface roughness is 0.3-0.75 μ m Ra.

In claims 4, 10-13, it is apparent that the claimed invention is inherent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 6-8 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hendriks. Hendricks does not disclose the roughness of the carrier surface is over $0.8~\mu m$ Ra, the properties of the lubrication oil at 100° C, the range of the primary shaft speed greater than 6000 rpm and the distance between the rocking edge and the saddle face. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the roughness over $0.8~\mu m$ Ra, to selection an oil with the above properties and to have speed of the shaft speed greater than 6000 rpm and to have the rocking edge any desirable distance below the saddle face, since it has been held that where the

Application/Control Number: 10/029,249

Art Unit: 3682

general conditions of the claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable range involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. In addition, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to select an lubrication oil with the above properties at 100°C, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a mater of designed choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fujioka et al.(6,629,904) discloses an endless belt with carriers having grooved inner surfaces.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus Charles whose telephone number is (703) 305-6877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 7:30 am-600 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on (703) 308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3597.

Marcus Charles Primary Examiner Art Unit 3682 November 08, 2003.